

ON CLASSIFICATION OF THE EXTREMAL CONTRACTION FROM A SMOOTH FOURFOLD

HIROMICHI TAKAGI

ABSTRACT. We classify external divisorial contraction which contracts a divisor to a curve from a smooth fourfold. We prove the exceptional divisor is $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle or quadric bundle over a smooth curve and the contraction is the blowing up along the curve.

0. INTRODUCTION

In his pioneer paper [M1] and [M2], Shigefumi Mori introduced the extremal ray and classified completely the extremal contraction from a smooth 3-fold. In dimension 4, we will consider the same problem, i.e., we want to classify the external contraction from a smooth 4-fold. In dimension 4, the situation is more complicated.

First small (flipping) contraction appears. This case was completely classified by Yujiro Kawamata in his ingenious paper [Ka1].

Secondly, in case the contraction is fibre type from 4-fold to 3-fold and divisorial type which contracts a divisor to a surface, equidimensionality of the fibre is not satisfied in general. (i.e., general fibres are 1 dimensional but some special fibres are possibly 2 dimensional.) The special 2 dimensional fibre are classified by Yasuyuki Kachi in [Kac] in case of fibre type, and by Marco Andreatta in case of divisorial type.

Thirdly in case the contraction is divisorial type which contracts a divisor to a point, the exceptional divisor is possibly nonnormal. In fact Mauro Beltrametti listed up all the possibility of the exceptional divisor in [Be1], [Be2]. It include nonnormal possibility. (But many cases are excluded by Takao Fujita in [F2].)

In this paper, we consider the contraction is divisorial type which contracts a divisor to a curve. This case turns out to be very mild in contrast to the above cases.

Main Theorem. *Let X be a smooth 4-fold and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a divisorial contraction which contracts a divisor to a curve. Let E be the exceptional divisor of f and C be $f(E)$. Then*

- (1) C is a smooth curve .
- (2) $f|_E: E \rightarrow C$ is $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle or quadric bundle (see the definition 1.4 below) over C .
- (3) f is the blowing up of Y along C .

Acknowledgement. I express my gratitude to Professor Y.Kawamata for encouraging me during preparing this paper. In particular, he told me that I should prove the irreducibility of general fibres in Theorem1.1 and investigate the local structure of the contraction. I am also thankful to Dr.Yoshiaki Fukuma for giving me much advice.

1.NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

We cite the key theorems and make some definitions in this section.

Notation1.0. The $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a))$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$ is called a Hirzebruch surface of degree a and denoted by $\mathbb{F}_a$. The unique negative section of it is denoted by C_0 and a ruling is denoted by f .

The projective cone obtained from $\mathbb{F}_a$ by the contraction of C_0 is denoted by $\mathbb{F}_{a,0}$. A generating line on $\mathbb{F}_{a,0}$ is denoted by l . $\square$

Theorem1.1. (cf.[TA],[Be1] and [Be2]) *Let X, Y, E and C be as in Main Theorem. Let F be a general fibre of $f|_E: E \rightarrow C$.*

Then

$$(F, -K_X|_F) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 1)) \text{ or } (\mathbb{F}_{2,0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)|_{\mathbb{F}_{2,0}})$$

$\square$

We give the outline of the proof.

Outline of the proof. Once we prove the irreducibility of F , the results are follow from [TA] and [Be1] and [Be2], so we will prove only the irreducibility here. We assume that F is reducible and get a contradiction. Let H be a good supporting divisor of f . We may assume that H is a smooth variety and at least locally $F = H \cap E$. By the adjunction formula, $-K_F = -K_X - E - H|_F$, but since $H|_F \sim 0$, $-K_F = -K_X - E|_F$. Note that $-K_X|_F$ and $-E|_F$ is ample Cartier divisor on F . Since $-K_F$ is ample on F , F is a generalized del Pezzo surface(i.e., a Gorenstein (possibly reducible) anti polarized surface). Write $F = \cup F_i$ where F_i is a irreducible component of F . By [R3], $(F_i, -K_F|_{F_i})$ is one of the following:

- (a) $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(i))$ where i is 1 or 2.
- (b) $(\mathbb{F}_{a,0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{a,0}}(al))$
- (c) $(\mathbb{F}_a, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(C_0 + (a+1)f))$
- (d) $(\mathbb{F}_a, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(C_0 + (a+2)f))$

But since $-K_F$ is a sum of two ample Cartier divisor, (a) with $i = 1$, (b), (c) and (d) is impossible. So we get $(F_i, -K_F|_{F_i}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ and $-K_X|_{F_i} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Furthermore by [R3, Main Theorem and 1.3], F is union of two $\mathbb{P}^2$'s which intersect line in $\mathbb{P}^2$. But this is impossible since $N_{F_i/H} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)$ and so the birational contraction which contracts only one F_i . $\square$

The next theorem of freeness is very useful for classification of low dimensional fibres of an extremal contraction.

Theorem1.2. (see [A-W]) *Let X be a normal log terminal variety and L be an ample line bundle on X . Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the adjoint contraction supported by $K_X + rL$ and F be a fibre of f . Assume that $\dim F < r + 1$ if $\dim Y < \dim X$ or $\dim F \leq r + 1$ if $\dim Y = \dim X$.*

Then $f^*f_*L \rightarrow L$ is surjective at every point of F . $\square$

The next theorem was proved in [Wi1] and [Wi2](see also [R1] and [R2]) but we will give the proof again here for readers' convenience.

Theorem1.3. *Let X be a smooth 3-fold and Y be a canonical 3fold. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a crepant birational contraction which contracts a irreducible divisor to a curve. Let E be the exceptional divisor and C be $f(E)$.*

Then C is a smooth curve.

Proof. Let P be any point of C . The assertion is local, so we may replace C and Y with an affine(not analytic) neighborhood of P . We will keep this in mind below.

Claim1. *P is a cDV point of Y .*

Proof. Suppose that P is not a cDV point. By [R1] and [R2], we have a birational morphism $g: X' \rightarrow X$ such that X' is terminal, g is crepant and g has a exceptional divisor E_0 which contracts to P . Take a common resolution $\tilde{X}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Since f and g is crepant, strict transform of E_0 on X is exceptional for f but this contradicts to the irreducibility of the exceptional divisor of f . $\square$

Let H be the pull back of a very ample divisor on Y .

Claim2. *$|mH - E|$ is very ample for $m \gg 0$. In particular $f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-E)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Since $mH - 2E$ is ample for $m \gg 0$, it follow from the vanishing theorem (see [KMM]) and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mH - 2E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mH - E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(mH - E) \rightarrow 0$$

that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mH - E)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(mH - E))$ is surjective. Let l be a fibre of $f|_E$. From the vanishing $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, l is a tree of $\mathbb{P}^1$, so $|mH - E|_l$ is very ample and so is $|mH - E|_E$ since we consider locally. From thease, $|mH - E|$ is also very ample. $\square$

Claim 3. *C can be embedded in a smooth surface.*

Proof. In fact, let S be a smooth general member of $|mH - E|$. Since $f|_S: S \rightarrow f(S)$ is étale, so $f(S)$ is smooth. C is in $f(S)$ so we are done. $\square$

Claim4. *f is the blowing up of Y along C .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the ideal sheaf of C in Y .

First we see that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-E) = \mathcal{I}_C$$

Let's consider the exact sequence

From this and vanishing theorem, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0$$

Since except at finite points $f_*\mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_C$, we have $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-E) = \mathcal{I}_C$ except at finite point. But they are reflexive(cf.[H2,Corollary 1.5,Proposition 1.6,Corollary 1.7]),so they are actually equal.

From this and claim2, we have $f^*\mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-E)$ is surjective, i.e., $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-E)$. So by the universal property of blowing up(cf.[H1,II proposition7.14]), f decomposes as $X \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow Y$, where X_1 is the blowing up of Y along C . X_1 is normal since C is a cDV curve in Y .(see also the calculations below.) So $X \simeq X_1$ since f is a primitive contraction. This established claim4. $\square$

We suppose P is a singular point of C and get a contradiction. Recall that P is a cDV point and so Y can be embedded(analytically locally)in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Let x, y, z, t be its coordinate around P and g be the defining equation of Y in $\mathbb{C}^4$. By claim3, We may assume $\mathcal{I}_C = \langle x, y, h \rangle$, where $h \in \mathbb{C}[[z, t]]$. Since we suppose P is singular point of C , $h = 0$ is singular at $z = t = 0$ in zt -plane. X is the strict transform of Y in the blowing up $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^4$ of $\mathbb{C}^4$ along C . $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^4$ is in $\mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and given by

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} x & y & h \\ u & v & w \end{pmatrix} \leq 1$$

(u, v, w is the homogenous coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^2$). Take the affine piece given by $u = 1$. We can embed this affine piece of $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^4$ in $\mathbb{C}^5$ with coordinate (x, z, t, v, w) and equation $xw = h$. This affine variety is singular above P along the line L defined by $x = z = t = w = 0$. Write $g(x, xv, z, t) = x^m \tilde{g}(x, v, z, t)$, where $\tilde{g}$ can not be divided by x . Then X is defined by $xw = h$ and $\tilde{g} = 0$. This intersects L and at the intersections, X is singular, a contradiction. $\square$

Definition1.4. Let E be a normal projective 3-fold and C be a smooth curve. Let $f: E \rightarrow C$ be a projective surjective morphism.

We say $f: E \rightarrow C$ is quadric bundle if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) there exists a f -very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on E .
- (2) For any closed point s of C , $h^0(E_s, \mathcal{L}_s) = 3$ and E_s is a quadric in

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(E_s, \mathcal{L}_s)^*) \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$$

If we can take such an $\mathcal{L}$, we say $f: E \rightarrow C$ is the quadric bundle associated to $\mathcal{L}$. $\square$

Remark. We require E is normal above so general fibres of f are irreducible. $\square$

2.PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof of (1). Let H be a good supporting divisor of f and L be $\mathcal{O}_X(mH - K_X)$ for $m \gg 0$. Since L is ample, we apply Theorem1.2 for this f and L with $r = 1$.(Remark that the dimension of fibres of f is 2.) Then for any point P of C and a suitable affine neighborhood U of P in Y , $|L|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ has no base points on E . So furthermore if we replace $f^{-1}(U)$ with a suitable neighborhood V of E in X , $|L|_V$ is base point free on V . Let X_0 be a general smooth member of $|L|_V$, Y_0 be $f(X_0)$ and E_0 be $E|_{Y_0}$. Then

Claim. E_0 is irreducible

Proof. Let F be a general fibre of f and F_0 be $F|_{X_0}$. It suffices to prove F_0 , i.e., $X_0|_F$ is irreducible. For this it suffices to prove the surjectivity of $H^0(L|_V) \rightarrow H^0(L|_F)$. For by Theorem 1.1, general member of $|L|_F|$ is irreducible. First from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L|_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-E) \rightarrow L|_V \rightarrow L|_E \rightarrow 0$$

and the vanishing theorem, we have $H^0(L|_V) \rightarrow H^0(L|_E)$ is surjective. Secondly since we take F to be a general fibre, F is a Cartier of E and since near F , $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2,0} \times \mathbb{A}^1$, E has at worst canonical singularities near F (cf. Theorem 1.1). So we can use the vanishing theorem for the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L|_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(-F) \rightarrow L|_E \rightarrow L|_F \rightarrow 0$$

and we get the surjectivity of $H^0(L|_E) \rightarrow H^0(L|_F)$. This establishes the claim. $\square$

From this claim, we can apply Theorem 1.3 for X_0, Y_0, E_0 and prove that C is smooth. $\square$

Proof of (2). Let F be a general fibre of f . If $(F, -K_X|_F) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 1))$, or $(\mathbb{F}_{2,0}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{2,0}}(1)|_{\mathbb{F}_{2,0}})$, let $\mathcal{L}$ be $\mathcal{O}_E(-K_X)$.

If $(F, -K_X|_F) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$, let $\mathcal{L}$ be $\mathcal{O}_E(-E)$. Then we will prove

- (i) If $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $f|_E: E \rightarrow C$ is $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle.
- (ii) If $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$, $f|_E: E \rightarrow C$ is the quadric bundle associated to $\mathcal{L}$.

If $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(-K_X)$, we can argue as follow.

First we see $f_*\mathcal{L}$ is locally free. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X - E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(-K_X) \rightarrow 0$$

and the vanishing theorem, we have $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_E(-K_X) = 0 (i > 0)$. On the otherhand, we have $H^i(E_s, \mathcal{L}_s) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$ and any $s \in C$. Furthermore by above (1), C is smooth so $f|_E$ is flat. So by Cohomology and Base change theorem (cf. [H1, III Theorem 12.11]), $f_*\mathcal{L}$ is locally free.

Next we see $\mathcal{L}$ is f -free. Let's consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^* f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) & \longrightarrow & f^* f_* \mathcal{O}_E(-K_X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_E(-K_X) \end{array}$$

We see the left arrow is surjective by Theorem 1.2 and so is the bottom arrow by the above exact sequence and vanishing. So the right arrow must be surjective, i.e., $\mathcal{L}$ is f -free.

By the above, we get the morphism $g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(f_*\mathcal{L})$ defined by $\mathcal{L}$.

If we are in case (i), g is birational since general fibre of f is $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}(f_*\mathcal{L})$ is $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle. And g is finite since $\mathcal{L}$ is f -ample. So by Zariski Main Theorem, g is isomorphism.

If we are in case (ii), then

Claim. $\mathcal{L}$ is f -very ample.

Proof. We will prove g is isomorphism onto $g(E)$. g is birational because on the general fibre of f , $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample. g is finite because $\mathcal{L}$ is f -ample. The dimension of singular locus of $g(E)$ is not greater than 2 since general fibres of $g(E) \rightarrow C$ are $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$ and C is smooth. $g(E)$ satisfies the Serre's condition S_2 since E is a divisor of smooth 4-fold. So $g(E)$ is normal. Then by Zariski main theorem, g is isomorphism. $\square$

From this claim, it is easy to see that E is quadric bundle associated to $\mathcal{L}$.

If $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(-E)$, we can argue as follow.(cf.[F1,1.5])

Let F' be any fibre of $f|_E$ and write $F' = \cup F'_i$, where F'_i is a irreducible component of F' . Since $f|_E$ is flat, $1 = (-E)^2 F' = \sum (-E)^2 F'_i$. Since $-E|_{F'_i}$ is ample, $(-E)^2 F'_i > 0$. So F' must be irreducible. By the lower semicontinuity of Δ -genus(cf.[H1,III,Theorem 12]), we have $\Delta(F', -E|_{F'}) \leq \Delta(F, -E|_F) = 0$. F' has no embedded points since E is Cohen-Macaulay and F' is Cartier divisor on E . So $\Delta(F', -E|_{F'}) \geq 0$ by [F0] and so $\Delta(F', -E|_{F'}) = 0$. Since $(-E)^2 F' = 1$, $(F', -E|_{F'}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by the classification of the varieties of Δ -genus 0. So $f: E \rightarrow C$ is $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle. $\square$

Remark. We cannot proceed in case $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(-E)$ similar to the case $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(-K_X)$ because we have not freeness of $\mathcal{O}_E(-E)$ apriori. $\square$

As for (3), the proof is almost the same as [M2,Corollary(3.4)]. So we will give only the outline of the proof.

Outline of the proof of (3). We see that $\mathcal{O}_E(-E)$ is $f|_E$ -very ample by (2) and $\mathcal{O}_X(-E)$ is f -ample. So we get the following.

Claim.

- (a) $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-jE) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $j \geq 0$.
- (b) $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-jE) = \mathcal{I}_C^j$, $\mathcal{I}_C^j \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-jE)$ for $j \geq 0$.
- (c) $\oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_C^n / \mathcal{I}_C^{n+1} \simeq \oplus_{n \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_E(-nE)$ as $\mathcal{O}_C$ algebra.

$\square$

By this claim, we can easily get the result. $\square$

Remarks and Examples. We can say the following about the local analytic structure of the contraction. Let F' be a fibre of $f|_E$ and F is any general fibre of $f|_E$ near F' . We will give the description near F' .

- (1) If $f|_E: E \rightarrow C$ is $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle and $\mathcal{O}_X(-E)|_F \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, Y is smooth along C .(cf.[SN])
- (2) If $f|_E: E \rightarrow C$ is $\mathbb{P}^2$ bundle and $\mathcal{O}_X(-E)|_F \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$, Y can be considered as one parameter family of $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$ singularity. In fact, let P be any point of C and take a general very ample divisor A through P . Let H be the pull back of A . Then H is smooth along $E|_H \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ since $E|_H$ is smooth and a Cartier divisor of H . So $f|_H$ is the extremal contraction from a smooth 3-fold near the fibre over P . Then by Mori's classification, A has $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1)$ singularity at P .
- (3) If $f|_E: E \rightarrow C$ is quadric bundle we see Y is locally hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^5$ and C is locally complete intersection in the $\mathbb{C}^5$ because $\mathcal{I}_C / \mathcal{I}_C^2$ is locally free sheaf of rank 4 on C by the claim in the proof of Main Theorem (2). But the

type of singularity of Y along C is very various (case (c) and (e) below), so we will give some examples here. Below $\mathbb{C}^5$ has always coordinates x, y, z, w, t . Y is given hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^5$ and X is the blow up of Y along C . We assume F' is the fibre over the origin.

- (3a) (F and F' are $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$) Let Y be $(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 = 0)$ and C be $(x = y = z = w = 0)$. In this case above example is all.
- (3b) (F is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and F' is $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$) Let Y be $(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + tw^2 = 0)$ or $(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^m w^2 + w^3 = 0)$ and C be $(x = y = z = w = 0)$. In this case above examples are all.
- (3c) (F is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and F' is union of two planes in $\mathbb{P}^3$) Let Y be $(x^2 + y^2 + t^m z^2 + z^3 + t^n w^2 + w^3 = 0)$ and $(x = y = z = w = 0)$.
- (3d) (F and F' are $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$) Let Y be $(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^3 = 0)$ and $(x = y = z = w = 0)$. In this case this example is all.
- (3e) (F is $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$ and F' is union of two planes in $\mathbb{P}^3$) Let Y be $(x^2 + y^2 + z^3 + t^m w^2 + w^3 = 0)$ and $(x = y = z = w = 0)$.

□

Question. Dose any quadric bundle appear as the exceptional divisor of the contraction as in Main Theorem ?

REFERENCES

- [TA] T. Ando, *On extremal rays of the higher dimensional varieties*, Invent.Math **81** (1985), 347–357.
- [A-W] M. Andreatta and J. Wiśniewski, *A note on nonvanishing and applications*, Duke Math J **72** (1993), 739–755.
- [Be1] M. Beltrametti, *On d -folds whose canonical bundle is not numerically effective, According to Mori and Kawamata*, Ann.Mat.Pura.Appl **116** (1982), 133–176.
- [Be2] ———, *Contraction of non numerically effective extremal rays in dimension 4*, Teubner-Texte Math **92** (1986), 24–37.
- [F0] T.Fujita, *Classification theories of polarized varieties*, London Math.Soc.Lecture Note Ser **115** (1990), Cambridge Univ.Press.
- [F1] ———, *On del Pezzo fibrations over curves*, Osaka.J.Math **27** (1990), 229–245.
- [F2] ———, *On singular Del Pezzo varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1417, Springer-Verlag, 1990, p. 117–128.
- [H1] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **52** (1977), Springer-Verlag.
- [H2] ———, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math.Ann **254** (1980), 121–176.
- [Kac] Y.Kachi, *Extremal contractions from 4-dimensional manifolds to 3-folds*, preprint.
- [Ka1] Y. Kawamata, *The cone of Curves of algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math **119** (1984), 603–633.
- [Ka2] ———, *Small contractions of four dimensional algebraic manifolds*, Math.Ann **284** (1989), 595–600.
- [KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Adv.St.Pure Math **10** (1987), 287–360.
- [M1] S. Mori, *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles*, Ann. of Math **110** (1979), 593–606.
- [M2] ———, *Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math **116** (1982), 133–176.
- [SN] S. Nakano, *On the inverse of monoidal transformations*, Publ.RIMS Kyoto Univ **6** (1971), 483–502.
- [R1] M.Reid, *Canonical 3-folds*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Angers, Sijthoff and Noordhoff,Alphen, 1980, p. 273–310.
- [R2] ———, *Minimal models of canonical 3-folds*, Adv.St.Pure Math **1** (1983), 131–180.
- [R3] ———, *Normality of the base locus of a linear system*, Publ.RIMS Kyoto Univ (1984), 695–733.

- [Wi1] P.M.H. Wilson, *The Kähler cone on Calabi Yau threefolds*, Invent.Math **107** (1992), 561–583.
- [Wi2] ———, *Erratum The Kähler cone on Calabi Yau threefolds*, Invent.Math **114** (1993), 231–233.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, TOKYO UNIVERSITY, KOMABA, TOKYO, 153, JAPAN